

Proposal Name:		

#### **GOALS OF THE WDC CHILD CARE EXPANSION GRANT:**

The project will:	YES	NO
Increase or expand child care capacity.		
Enable businesses and employer consortiums to create and develop on site, or near-site, child care or partner with local/regional child care services.		
Provide high quality child care in Idaho. As defined in CCEG policy. Framework found here: https://idahostars.org/Child-Care-		
<u>Providers/Steps-to-Quality</u>		
Provide care to children ages 13 and younger, children with disabilities ages 14-18, or a combination thereof.		

**ELIGIBILITY:** 

The applicant:	YES	NO
Has been affected by Covid; experiencing public health or negative economic impact		
Is authorized to conduct business in Idaho and is in good standing with the Idaho Secretary of State, any other applicable state and local government organizations, and is compliant with all federal, state, and local requirements. This includes licensing requirements and incident history.		
Is a(n): For profit provider / Nonprofit or not for profit provider / Employer looking to create or expand child care		
Provided documentation of employer partnership.		
Provided a business plan or equivalent.		

**Commented [AA1]:** As defined in policy (include link)

**Commented [AA2]:** Add language from EC in treasury guidance



Proposal Name:

Variable	Exemplary	Reasonable	Questionable	Missing	Score	Comments
	(3 pts)	(2pts)	(1pt)	(0 pts)		
Eligibility requirements met?	Requirements	Documentation	Documentation	Documentation		
	and	of	of	of		
	documentation	requirements is	requirements	requirements is		
	thereof are	reasonably	seems	inadequate or		
	clearly	clear.	questionable.	missing.		
	documented.					
Employer partnership (MOU or other evidence submitted	Project clearly	Project	Project	It is unclear if		
w/application?)	defines the	generally	minimally	project has an		
	employer	outlines an	depicts	employer		
	partnership.	employer	employer	partnership.		
		partnership.	partnership.			
Child care needs/barriers are explained and documented?	Needs/barriers	General	Minimal	Inadequate		
	are clearly	explanation of	explanation of	explanation of		
	explained with	needs/barriers	needs/barriers	needs/barriers		
	description of	with some	with little to no			
	how the	narrative of	description of			
	information was	how that	how			
	obtained.	information	information			
		was gathered.	was acquired			
Project serves high need communities in a child care desert	Project is	Project is	Project is	Project is		
https://childcaregap.org/assets/onePagers/Idaho.pdf	located in an	located in the	located in the	located in the		
	area that is in	second quartile	third quartile of	fourth quartile		
	the top quartile	of Idaho's child	Idaho's child	of Idaho's child		
	of Idaho's child	care deserts.	care deserts.	care deserts.		
	care deserts.					
Does the project meet the needs most sought in applicant's	Meet's the top	Meet's the	Meet's the	Unclear or		
community?	priority.	second highest	third highest	inadequate		
Did they indicate the amount of current available slots,		priority	priority.	explanation of		
additional slots, and age ranges?				meeting needs		
				and number		
				served		

**Commented [WS3]:** Might be able to delete this one since the following two evaluate the need. This feels more like a check the box.

**Commented [AA4]:** Move to a different section for scoring. Use ranges set by committee for scoring structure.

**Commented [AA5R4]:** Moved from "eligibility" to this section. I added scoring guidance, but please comment or edit as appropriate



Proposal Name:

Variable	Exemplary	Reasonable	Questionable	Missing	Score	Comments
	(3 pts)	(2pts)	(1pt)	(0 pts)		
High quality Care	Applicant clearly describes how	Description of how high	There is little evidence high	There is no information		
	high quality care will be provided and uses the IdahoSTARS guidance.	quality care will be provided is general but appropriate	quality care will be provided	regarding high quality care		
	Hours served significantly	Somewhat exceeds	Traditional business hours	Less than traditional		
Hours of operation (based on population served)	exceed	traditional	served	hours		
	traditional business hours	business hours				
Business Plan shows applicant will achieve sustainability beyond the grant period	Exceptionally	Generally	Minimal	Not at all		
Staff recruitment and retention	Above market pay, benefits, retention plan	At or above market pay, no or limited benefits, some retention plan or professional development	Pay at or below market rate, little or no benefits, little to no professional opportunities or planning time	Inadequate response		
Fiscal structure and organization's capacity to complete project	Applicant has demonstrated capability to administer and complete project	Applicant appears to have the capability to administer and complete project	This will be the first project involving grant funds for the applicant but they appear to have an understanding	Applicant does not have any experience and/or does not appear to have the capability to		

**Commented [AA6]:** Would it make sense to include this portion in another section? With other questions that are more subjective and weighted differently?



Proposal Name:

Variable	Exemplary	Reasonable	Questionable	Missing	Score	Comments
	(3 pts)	(2pts)	(1pt)	(0 pts)		
			of the	complete the		
			requirements	project.		
			and a plan in			
			place to meet			
			them.			
dditional Comments:						